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Subcellular localization and function of alternatively spliced Noxo1 isoforms

Abstract  Nox organizer 1 (Noxo1), a p47phox homolog, is produced as four isoforms with unique N-terminal PX domains derived by alternative mRNA splicing. We compared the subcellular distribution of these isoforms or their isolated PX domains produced as GFP fusion proteins, as well as their ability to support Nox1 activity in several transfected models. Noxo1 α, β, γ, and δ show different subcellular localization patterns, determined by their PX domains. In HEK293 cells, Noxo1β exhibits prominent plasma membrane binding, Noxo1γ shows plasma membrane and nuclear associations, Noxo1α and δ localize primarily on intracellular vesicles or cytoplasmic aggregates, but not the plasma membrane. Nox1 activity correlates with Noxo1 plasma membrane binding in HEK293 cells, since Noxo1β supports the highest activity and Noxo1γ and Noxo1α support moderate or low activities, respectively. In COS-7 cells, where Noxo1α localizes on the plasma membrane, the activities supported by the three isoforms (α, β, and γ) do not differ significantly. The PX domains of β and γ bind the same phospholipids, including phosphatidic acid. These results indicate the variant PX domains are unique determinants of Noxo1 localization and Nox1 function. Finally, the over-expressed Noxo1 isoforms do not affect p22phox localization, although Nox1 is needed to transport p22phox to the plasma membrane.
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Introduction

In phagocytic cells, reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced by NADPH oxidase, an enzyme complex that transports electron across membranes and generates superoxide anion (O$_2^-$) from molecular oxygen. The enzyme is a multi-protein complex assembled from a membrane-spanning flavocytochrome $b_{558}$ heterodimer (composed of gp91$^{phox}$ (Nox2) and p22$^{phox}$) and four cytosolic factors (p47$^{phox}$, p67$^{phox}$, p40$^{phox}$, and Rac) that translocate and associate with the flavocytochrome $b_{558}$ to form an active membrane-bound enzyme [1-3].

Recently, several novel gp91$^{phox}$ (Nox2) homologs have been described in a variety of non-phagocytic cells [4,5]. This new NADPH oxidase or Nox family encompasses 7 homologs of the catalytic, electron carrier component of these enzymes identified in humans: Nox1, Nox2 (gp91$^{phox}$), Nox3, Nox4, Nox5, Duox1, and Duox2.

Two of the closest homologues of the phagocytic oxidase (Nox1 and Nox3) also function as multi-component systems. Nox1 is detected in abundance in the colon and at lower levels in uterus, prostate, and vascular smooth muscle and endothelial cells [6,7]. Nox1 is suggested to function as a host defense oxidase, like Nox2 [8-10]. Based on recent observations in Nox1 knockout mice, Nox1 is also thought to act in the cardiovascular system in the pressor response to Angiotensin II [11,12]. Nox1 expressed alone produces little superoxide, although its activity is significantly enhanced in the presence of two co-factors, Noxo1 and Noxa1, detected in colon
epithelium that are homologous to p47\textit{phox} and p67\textit{phox}, respectively [13-15]. Noxo1 was proposed to act as a “Nox organizer” based on structural and functional similarities with p47\textit{phox}, which is a multi-modular “adaptor” protein that bridges interactions between the membrane (flavocytochrome \textit{b} \textit{558} and lipid) and p67\textit{phox}. Noxa1 was designated as a “Nox activator” based on its homology to p67\textit{phox}, which binds to Rac1 or Rac2 and plays a more direct role in modulating oxidase activity. Recent evidence suggests that Rac1 also regulates Nox1 most likely through GTP-dependent interactions with the Nox activator, Noxa1 [16-19]. We showed that the association of Noxa1 with the plasma membrane depends on its interaction with Noxo1 [17]. These structural and functional similarities between the Nox and phox regulators may explain why Noxo1 and Noxa1 can function in partially compensating for p47\textit{phox} and p67\textit{phox} in supporting Nox2 activity [8,13-15]. Noxo1, Noxa1, and Rac1 also support Nox3 activity, which appears to function critically in the inner ear [17,20-22]; mice with lesions in either the \textit{NOX3} or \textit{NOXO1} gene have defects in sensing gravity resulting from impaired otoconia formation [23,24]. Nox3 activity can be supported by p47\textit{phox} and p67\textit{phox}, although mice deficient in p47\textit{phox} or chronic granulomatous disease patients deficient in either protein show no deficits in balance.

Several groups described structural variants of human Noxo1, which were proposed to result from alternative splicing of mRNA sequences encoding their PX domains [14,15,25,26]. We characterized Noxo1\textalpha{} and showed that it supports Nox1 activity in several transfected cell
models (Genbank™ accession no. AY255768) [14]. Most reports studied Noxo1β (human or mouse), the most common isoform [9,13,15,17,25]. Noxo1β and Noxo1γ isoforms were compared recently for their abilities to support Nox1 and Nox3-based oxidases [26]. Noxo1γ supports lower levels of Nox3 activity than Noxo1β, although both isoforms show similar phospholipid binding specificities. Since PX domains in other proteins were shown to engage in either protein-lipid or protein-protein interactions and they appear to serve in subcellular targeting of several proteins [27,28], we examined the subcellular distribution of spliced Noxo1 isoforms in relation to their ability to support oxidase activity in several Nox1 reconstituted cell models. We show that the PX domains of Noxo1 variants dictate unique subcellular distribution patterns that can, in turn, influence their abilities to support oxidase function.
Noxo1 Localization & Function

Materials and Methods

**Materials**

Mouse monoclonal antibody (Ab) against p22\textsuperscript{phox} (#449) was a kind gift from Drs. Arthur J. Verhoeven and Dirk Roos [29]. Mouse monoclonal Ab against human β-tubulin was from Sigma-Aldrich. Rabbit polyclonal Ab against green fluorescent protein (GFP) and Hoechst 33258 were from Clontech.

**Cell Culture**

All cell culture reagents were obtained from Invitrogen, unless indicated otherwise.

HEK293 cells (ATCC) were maintained in EMEM containing 10% heat-inactivated FBS (Hyclone Laboratories), 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 100 µM nonessential amino acids, and antibiotics (100 units/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin) at 37°C in 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. CHO-K1 cells (ATCC) were maintained in Ham's F-12 medium containing 10% heat-inactivated FBS, and antibiotics at 37°C in 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. COS-7 cells (ATCC) were maintained in DMEM containing 10% heat-inactivated FBS, and antibiotics at 37°C in 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. PANC-1 cells (ATCC) were maintained in DMEM containing 10% heat-inactivated FBS and antibiotics at 37°C in 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. MT3 cells (ATCC) were maintained in DMEM/F12 containing 2.5% heat-inactivated FBS and 5% horse serum, and antibiotics at 37°C in 5% CO\textsubscript{2}.

**Construction of plasmids**
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The pcDNA3.1 plasmids (Invitrogen) containing the complete coding sequence of human Nox1, p41\textsuperscript{nox} (Noxo1\textalpha and Noxo1\textbeta), p51\textsuperscript{nox} (Noxa1), and p22\textsuperscript{phox} were described previously [5,8,17]. Human Noxo1\gamma in pcDNA3.1 and Noxo1\delta in pcDNA3.1 were made from Noxo1\beta and Noxo1\alpha using the QuickChange II XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene), respectively. PX domains of Noxo1\alpha (a.a. 1-146), Noxo1\beta (a.a. 1-147), Noxo1\gamma (a.a. 1-152), and Noxo1\delta (a.a. 1-151) were amplified by PCR and cloned into the Eco\textit{RI} and Bam\textit{HI} sites of pEGFP-N1 (Clontech), and designated Noxo1\alpha(PX)-GFP, Noxo1\beta(PX)-GFP, Noxo1\gamma(PX)-GFP, and Noxo1\delta(PX)-GFP, respectively. Full-length Noxo1\alpha, Noxo1\beta, Noxo1\gamma, and Noxo1\delta were amplified by PCR and cloned into the Eco\textit{RI} and Bam\textit{HI} sites of pEGFP-N1 (Clontech), and designated Noxo1\alpha-GFP, Noxo1\beta-GFP, Noxo1\gamma-GFP, and Noxo1\delta-GFP respectively. We confirmed that Noxo1-GFPs support Nox1 activity in the presence of Noxa1 at levels comparable to unfused, wild-type Noxo1 isoforms (data not shown). All other amino acid mutations [(p22\textsuperscript{phox}(P156Q), GFP-Noxo1\beta(R40Q)] were produced using the QuickChange II XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit. All modified expression vectors were sequenced to confirm their identities.

Cell imaging

Cells (HEK293, COS-7, PANC-1, or TM3 cells) were seeded on 35-mm glass bottom dishes (MatTek Chambers) and transfected using FuGENE 6 (Roche Applied Science). Forty to
48 hours after the transfection, cells were fixed using 10% formalin in buffered solution (Sigma-Aldrich). After permeabilization with TBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 for 10 min, the fixed cells were stained for 2 h at RT using p22\textsuperscript{phox} antibody at 1:200 dilutions (TBS-T with 5% BSA). Secondary antibody-fluor conjugates (anti-mouse Alexa 488 or Alexa 594; 1:2000 dilutions) were applied for 0.5 h at RT. Confocal imaging was performed using a TCS-SP2 ABOS confocal laser scanning fluorescence microscope (63x oil) (Leica Microsystems GmBH).

**Immunoblotting**

Cells were lysed in homogenizing buffer in the present of protease inhibitors and 1% Triton X-100 [30] by sonication. The total cell lysates were probed by Western blotting using primary Ab (p22\textsuperscript{phox}, 1/1000; GFP, 1/2000; RT for 2 h). Bound antibodies were detected with secondary antibody-HRP conjugates (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) using the ECL detection system (Amersham Biosciences).

**Cell transfections and ROS assays**

Cells were seeded in 6-well dishes at 250,000 cells/well (HEK293 cells), 70,000 cells/well (CHO-K1 or COS-7 cells) for 48 h prior to transfection. Transfections were performed in serum-free medium using 6 µl of FuGENE 6 prepared in complexes with plasmid DNAs (total 2µg/well, 0.5 µg of Nox1 (in pcDNA3.1), plus 0.5 µg each of other expression vectors (Noxo1, Noxa1 and p22\textsuperscript{phox} (P156Q) in pcDNA3.1) or pcDNA3.1 (control) plasmid), using the
manufacturer's suggested protocol. The cells were fed 5 h post-transfection with complete medium, and were assayed 48 h after transfection. Trypsinized cells (250,000 cells) were assayed for ROS release (with or without 2 μg/ml PMA) by SOD-inhibitable chemiluminescence methods using the Diogenes reagent (National Diagnostics), as described previously [17].

**Protein-lipid overlay assay**

PCR-amplified PX domains adapted with termination codons from Noxo1α (a.a. 1-146), Noxo1β (a.a. 1-147), and Noxo1γ (a.a. 1-152) were cloned into the BamHI and EcoRI sites of pGEX-2T (Amersham Biosciences). All constructs were sequenced to confirm their identities. Protein fused to glutathione S-transferase (GST) were expressed in *Escherichia coli* strain BL21-CodonPlus (DE3)-RIL (Stratagene). When the bacteria reached an OD$_{600}$ of ~0.2, protein expression was induced with 0.1 mM isopropyl β-thiogalactopyranoside (Sigma-Aldrich) at 21°C for 16 h, solubulized by 50 mM CHAPS, and purified by glutathione-sepharose-4B (Amersham Biosciences). Protein-lipid overlay assays were performed using PIP Strips™ (Invitrogen), as described previously [31]. Briefly, PIP Strips™ membranes were blocked in 2 % fatty acid-free BSA in TBST buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.1% Tween 20, pH 7.5) for 1 h at RT. The membranes were then incubated for 3 h at RT in the same solution with 20 nM (~ 0.86 μg/ml of Noxo1, ~ 0.53 μg/ml of GST) GST-tagged protein. The membranes were washed 5 times for 1 h (each wash) in TBST buffer and then incubated for 1 h with 1/2000 dilution of anti-GST
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clonal Ab (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The membranes were washed as before prior to probing for 1 h with 1/10000 dilution of anti-rabbit HRP conjugate (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories). Following washing, the bound protein was detected by ECL detection system.

**RT-PCR amplification and identification of Noxo1 isoforms**

RT-PCR was performed using the first strand cDNA prepared from colon, testis, liver, and pancreas RNA (Human MTC™ panels I and II; Clontech). For the PCR amplification using Herculase® Enhanced DNA polymerase (Stratagene), 10 µl of the first strand cDNA was denatured for 2 min at 95°C prior to 40 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 57°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s. The primers used for amplification were 5’-ggcagctggtgcagatcaagaggc-3’ and 5’-cagtcgccagcagcctccgagaatagg-3’. The PCR fragments are subcloned into the Zero Blunt TOPO vector (Invitrogen) and the identities of these PCR fragments were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

**Statistic analysis**

Data are presented as the percent of the maximally reconstituted oxidase activities observed in the absence of cell stimulation and was expressed as means ± SD (100% was defined with Nox1 + Noxo1β + Noxa1 co-expression in each cell line). Each assay was performed in duplicate and was repeated in at least three independent transfection experiments.
Results

Alternative splicing of Noxo1 and its effects on PX domain structure

Four variants of human Noxo1 have been designated as Noxo1α, β, γ, and δ isoforms that differ within sequences encoding their N-terminal, PX domains. These variants were suggested to result from alternative mRNA splicing of coding sequences within the 5’ and 3’ boundaries of exon 3 (Fig. 1A and B) [26]. Noxo1α and δ isoforms derive from transcripts lacking a single AAG codon corresponding to lysine 50 of the β and γ isoforms; these variants result from the use of alternative splice acceptor sites (splice site 1) on the 5’ boundary of exon 3 (Fig. 1B and C). The γ and δ isoforms contain an additional five amino acids (GQASL), resulting from use of an alternative splice donor site on the 3’ end of exon 3 (splice site 2). As noted earlier [26], all splice patterns conform to the GT-AG rule for the boundaries of these splice sites [32] suggesting these structural variants derive from genuine mRNA splicing mechanisms and are not artifacts of reverse transcription or PCR reactions. The conserved murine genomic sequences are compatible with similar alternative splicing mechanisms, and would produce the same sequences variants (Fig. 1B). Furthermore, some GenBank™ cDNA sequences suggest that similar alternative mRNA splicing occurs in the Noxo1 genes from mice and chimpanzees: GenBank™ accession no. BI409553 describes a murine cDNA lacking the lysine 50 codon, as in the human α and δ isoforms, while FASTA™ accession no. XP_523481 describes a chimpanzee protein that
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contains the “GQASL” motif present in human γ and δ isoforms, suggesting that these conserved sequences serve some common function across species.

The PX domain sequences of the Noxo1 isoforms were aligned with PX domains of other proteins (Fig. 1C). This comparison reveals that lysine 50 in Noxo1β and γ is surrounded by highly conserved sequences in all other PX domains, which have been shown to form α-helices in the three-dimensional structures of p47phox, p40phox, Vam7p, and phospholipase D1 (PLD1) [Fig. 1C, [33-37]]. Thus, the absence of lysine 50 would likely have drastic effects on the overall conformation of the PX domains of the Noxo1 α and δ forms. Indeed, no other PX domains contain deletions comparable to the splice site 1 deletion observed in these two isoforms.

In contrast, the extra five residues in γ and δ isoforms (splice site 2) occur within a region that is variable in other PX domains. This insertion aligns within the “PXXP” motif of p47phox and PLD1, which is exposed and can engage in either intramolecular or intermolecular protein-protein interactions [34,38]. Several other proteins contain PX domains that lack this proline-rich motif entirely [27]. Thus, the extra splice site 2 sequence found in Noxo1 γ and δ most likely would not affect the overall conformation of their PX domains, and these isoforms may interact with unique targets that would not bind to α or β forms.

*Noxo1α, Noxo1β, Noxo1γ, and Noxo1δ exhibit unique subcellular localization patterns*
To explore functional differences among Noxo1 isoforms, we examined the subcellular localization of the PX domains and full-length Noxo1 isoforms using GFP-tagged molecules expressed in two cell models. Previous work showed that the fusion of GFP to the C-terminus of Noxo1β has no detectable effect on its ability to support Nox1 activity [17]. In the HEK293 cell model, Noxo1α(PX)-GFP and Noxo1α-GFP, as well as Noxo1δ(PX)-GFP and Noxo1δ-GFP are detected primarily within intracellular membrane structures or in large cytoplasmic aggregates, but not on the plasma membrane (Fig. 2A). In contrast, Noxo1β(PX)-GFP and Noxo1β-GFP are localized predominately along the plasma membrane (Fig. 2A).

Noxo1γ(PX)-GFP and Noxo1γ-GFP are also localized on the plasma membrane but are most prominently localized in the nucleus; their detection on the plasma membrane is weaker than that of Noxo1β, particularly with Noxo1γ(PX)-GFP (Fig. 2A). In the COS-7 cell model, Noxo1α(PX)-GFP, Noxo1α-GFP show some association with the plasma membrane, as well as with punctuate vesicular structures dispersed throughout the cytoplasm (Fig. 2B). The tendency to form large aggregates is much less than is seen in HEK293 cells, and may reflect differences in efficiencies in which the two lines synthesize or process these proteins. The appearance of full-length and PX domain fusion proteins of Noxo1β and Noxo1γ isoforms is similar along the plasma membrane of COS-7 cells, however, Noxo1γ(PX)-GFP or Noxo1γ-GFP are again detected in the nucleus. Noxo1δ(PX)-GFP or Noxo1δ-GFP are not detected in nuclear sites, as seen with
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Noxo1γ(PX)-GFP or Noxo1γ-GFP, either in HEK293 or COS-7 cells (Fig. 2B and C).

Furthermore, their detection on the plasma membrane in COS-7 cells is less than that observed with the other isoforms (Fig. 2C). In CHO-K1 cells, the localization patterns of Noxo1(PX)-GFP and Noxo1-GFP proteins are similar to those in HEK293 cells (data not shown).

Interestingly, the prominent plasma membrane targeting of Noxo1β-GFP is disrupted by the R40Q mutation; this mutant is detected in small punctuate structure dispersed throughout the cytoplasm and faintly along the plasma membrane (Fig. 2C). These observations indicate that the PX domains are major determinants of the subcellular distribution of Noxo1, since each isoform is targeted to distinct subcellular sites.

Immunoblotting of the four full-length Noxo1-GFP proteins reveals comparable levels of the intact proteins, and no detectable degradation in either transfected model (Fig. 2D). Thus, the large intracellular bodies of GFP-tagged Noxo1α and Noxo1δ (full-length and PX domain) forms most likely reflect aggregation of misfolded α or δ-PX domains, despite the lack of any significant degradation of these proteins.

*Functional differences between Noxo1 isoforms in reconstituted Nox1 systems*

We examined the ability of Noxo1 isoforms to support the Nox1 system using three transfected cell models (HEK293, COS-7, and CHO-K1). Our studies focused primarily on the
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α, β, and γ isoforms, because Noxo1δ shows no distinctive localization pattern; it is similar to Noxo1α, appearing predominantly in cytoplasmic aggregates or vesicles. In HEK293 and CHO-K1 cell models, Noxo1β supports the highest Nox1 activity, while Noxo1γ and Noxo1α support moderate or low levels of activity, respectively (Fig. 3A and C). Among the four Noxo1 isoforms, Noxo1δ supports the lowest levels of Nox1 activity in the HEK293 cell model (data not shown). In contrast, Nox1 activity in COS-7 cells is supported at comparable levels by three Noxo1 isoforms (Fig. 3B). A dominant negative effect of p22phox (P156Q) is seen with all isoforms expressed; interestingly, this effect is most apparent with the Noxo1α isoform. A PMA enhancing effect on oxidase activity is seen in three cell models, however, the COS-7 cell model shows a higher constitutive component, consistent with Noxo1 plasma membrane binding seen with all three isoforms. Comparison of the absolute levels of Nox1 activity (RLU, normalized by cell number) supported by Noxo1 isoforms shows that COS-7 cells have the highest activity, HEK293 cells have moderate, and CHO-K1 cells have the lowest activity (Fig. 1D). The lower activity in CHO-K1 cells is likely due to the low expression of p22phox compared with HEK293 and COS-7 cells [15,39]. ROS production observed with the transfected wild-type (unfused) Noxo1 isoforms was the same as that observed using GFP-tagged Noxo1 isoforms (data not shown), consistent with earlier work on Noxo1β [17].
Phospholipid binding of Noxo1β and Noxo1γ

To explore further the basis for differences in subcellular localization and function of the Noxo1 isoforms, we examined phospholipid binding of their PX domains using protein-lipid overlay assays. The GST-Noxo1(PX) fusion proteins existed primarily in an insoluble fraction when expressed in E. coli. Using CHAPS as a detergent, GST-Noxo1β(PX) and GST-Noxo1γ(PX) were solubilized and purified as single bands by glutathione-sepharose affinity chromatography, however, GST-Noxo1α(PX) could not be purified as a single species at the expected molecular weight (Fig. 4A), suggesting that this form may be misfolded and unstable against proteolysis in E. coli (Fig. 4A). Thus, binding studies were performed using GST-Noxo1β(PX) and GST-Noxo1γ(PX). Both proteins bind to the monophosphorylated phosphatidylinositol lipids, PtdIns-(3)P, PtdIns-(4)P, and PtdIns-(5)P, and to PtdIns-(3,5)P₂ (Fig. 4B). These results showing that the PX domains of both isoforms have similar phospholipid binding specificities are similar to those reported recently [26], although that study did not detect binding to PtdIns-(3)P. In addition, we detected binding of both GST-Noxo1β(PX) and GST-Noxo1γ(PX) to phosphatidic acid (PA). We observed the same phospholipid binding specificities using PIP Strips™ from another source (Echelon Biosciences; data not shown). GST alone does not bind any of these phospholipids (Fig. 4B).
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*Tissue-specific expression patterns of Noxo1 isoforms*

It has been reported that Noxo1 is expressed predominately in colon, testis, pancreas, and liver using real time PCR [15], thus, we examined the expression patterns of Noxo1 isoforms in these tissues using PCR primers targeted to their entire PX domains. We detected Noxo1 mRNA by RT-PCR in colon, testis, liver, and pancreas, although these products are only weakly detected in pancreas (Fig. 5A). These PCR amplified products were cloned and several were selected for sequence analysis. Each isoform exhibited a unique expression pattern in the tissues examined (Fig. 5B). Noxo1β mRNA is expressed in all tissues and is the predominant isoform in colon, pancreas, and liver. Noxo1γ mRNA is also expressed in all tissues and is the predominant isoform in testis. Both Noxo1α and Noxo1δ are expressed at relatively low frequency; Noxo1δ is detected only in liver. These distinct tissue-specific expression patterns are similar to those reported recently by others [26], although these authors detected a higher frequency of the α form in the colon carcinoma cell-line, T84.

In view of these distinct expression patterns, we examined the localization of Noxo1 isoforms in epithelial cell lines from pancreas (PANC-1) and testis (TM3). All three Noxo1 isoforms are localized on the plasma membrane in both cell types, most efficiently with Noxo1β and Noxo1γ (Fig. 5C). Interestingly, Noxo1α shows a lesser tendency to aggregate in these cells compared with the HEK293 cell model, and is weakly detect on the plasma membrane as
Noxo1 Localization & Function

well as other intracellular membranes. Noxo1γ is localized on the plasma membrane and in the nucleus, as seen in HEK293 and COS-7 cells.

*Plasma membrane targeting of p22phox by Nox1, but not by Noxo1 isoforms*

Since Noxo1 is an organizer protein that links Noxa1 to the Nox1 flavocytochrome heterodimer through a direct interaction with p22phox, we examined the subcellular localization of p22phox in relation to Noxo1 in transfected HEK293 cell model. Endogenous p22phox in HEK293 cells has a reticular intracellular and perinuclear staining pattern, which likely reflects its accumulation in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Fig. 6A, left), although no p22phox is detected in the nucleus. Transfected p22phox displays a similar staining pattern (Fig. 6A, middle). As previously described [17], transfection of Nox1 increases detectable levels of endogenous p22phox and results in a dramatic redistribution of endogenous p22phox, resulting in significant accumulation along the plasma membrane (Fig. 6A, right). In contrast, transfection of various Noxo1-GFP isoforms causes no alterations in the endogenous p22phox staining pattern; in particular, there is no plasma membrane or nuclear targeting of endogenous p22phox caused by over-expression of Noxo1β-GFP or Noxo1γ-GFP (Fig. 6B). Furthermore, we did not observe any redistribution or increases in endogenous levels of p22phox following transfection of the wild-type Noxo1 isoforms (data not shown). The increases in p22phox levels caused by
transfection of Nox1, but not Noxo1, is confirmed by Western blotting (Fig. 6C) and is consistent with a recent report showing that cfp-tagged p22\textsuperscript{phox} expression levels were increased by co-transfection with Nox1 [40]. These observations support the hypothesis that Nox1 is stabilized through its heterodimeric association with p22\textsuperscript{phox} and that their transport to the plasma membrane occurs only when the two chains are co-expressed. In contrast, Noxo1 has no apparent role in targeting the p22\textsuperscript{phox} to the membrane. Thus, it appears that the p22\textsuperscript{phox}-Nox1 heterodimeric complex, once transported to the plasma membrane, provide a docking site for Noxo1 and Noxa1, resulting in superoxide release into the extracellular environment.
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Discussion

Earlier studies have shown that regulation of Nox1 is similar to that of the phagocytic NADPH oxidase (Nox2), in that it requires several supportive co-factors. Nox1 activity depends on at least three cytoplasmic proteins: Noxo1 and Noxa1 (homologs of p47\textsuperscript{phox} and p67\textsuperscript{phox}, respectively) and the small GTPase, Rac1 [13-15,17-19,25]. GTP-bound Rac1 appears to regulate Nox1 through its binding partner, Noxa1, which is in turn dependent on Noxo1 to associate with the plasma membrane [17]. This report provides a functional characterization of Noxo1 by comparing the subcellular localization of alternatively spliced PX domain isoforms of Noxo1 (α, β, γ and δ) in relation to their abilities to support Nox1 activity.

Four structural variants of human Noxo1 (α, β, γ, and δ) have been reported that appear to derive from alternative mRNA splicing within two sites of the coding sequence of the PX domain [14,15,26]. PX domains function in subcellular targeting of many intracellular signaling proteins by binding to specific phosphoinositide lipids and other protein partners. In this study, we show that the PX domain of Noxo1 is the most important determinant of Noxo1 isoform localization, since the full-length proteins, or their isolated PX domains, fused to GFP exhibit similar subcellular distribution patterns. In addition, plasma membrane targeting of Noxo1 is the most important correlate of its ability to support Nox1 activity. We observed the following rank order of activities in supporting Nox1 among the Noxo1 isoforms: Noxo1β ≥ Noxo1γ >>
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Noxo1α > Noxo1δ. Noxo1β and γ show the most prominent association with the plasma membrane and they support the highest levels of oxidase activity in HEK293 cells. Noxo1α supports Nox1 activity in COS-7 cells much better than in HEK293 cells, which correlates with its more efficient targeting to the plasma membrane of COS-7 cells. All of the isoforms were detected at comparable levels (Fig. 2D), thus differences in their stabilities does not account for their functional differences. Finally, plasma membrane targeting of Noxo1β-GFP is dramatically disrupted with the Noxo1β(R40Q)-GFP mutant (Fig. 2C), which correlates with the lower Nox1 activity supported by this mutant [25]. These observations are consistent with the co-localization of Noxo1β and Nox1 on the plasma membrane of HEK293 cells, which is seen even without cell stimulation [25].

Although the Noxo1 PX domain has a dominant role in plasma membrane targeting, the interaction of Noxo1 with p22phox also clearly contributes to Nox1 function. Noxo1 was shown to bind p22phox in vitro through its SH3 domain interaction with proline-rich motif in the C-terminal domain of p22phox [15]. Co-localization of Noxo1-RFP and p22phox-GFP on the plasma membrane, to a minor extent, and on the ER, to a greater extent, was observed in HEK293H cells [25]. However, in HEK293 cells both endogenous and exogenous over-expressed p22phox are retained in the ER, and are noy association with the plasma membrane (our current and earlier studies [17]). Furthermore, we showed that expression of Nox1
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efficiently targets endogenous p22\textsubscript{phox} to the plasma membrane [Fig. 6A, [17]], while the
expression of various Noxo1 isoforms does not alter the localization of endogenous p22\textsubscript{phox} (Fig.
6B). The requirement of Nox2-p22\textsubscript{phox} heterodimer formation for both stabilization and
maturation of this complex has been well established [41]. This concept is consistent with our
observations showing that expression of Nox1, but not Noxo1, enhances detectable levels of
endogenous p22\textsubscript{phox} (Fig. 6C) and targets endogenous p22\textsubscript{phox} to the plasma membrane in a
heterodimeric complex with Nox1 that is capable of delivering superoxide to the extracellular
medium (Fig. 6B). We observe dominant negative effects of mutant p22\textsubscript{phox} (P156Q) in three
Nox1 systems reconstituted with Noxo1 isoforms (\(\alpha\), \(\beta\), and \(\gamma\)). Interestingly, the effect is most
apparent with Noxo1\(\alpha\), which shows the weakest association with the plasma membrane. Thus,
both the PX domain-membrane interaction and SH3 domain-p22\textsubscript{phox} interaction contribute to the
interaction of Noxo1 with the membrane and its assembly with the active oxidase complex,
although Noxo1 serves no apparent role in localization of the Nox1-p22\textsubscript{phox} heterodimer. A
similar dual interaction with the membrane is well described in the case of p47\textsubscript{phox}, although both
interactions are prevented in the absence of cell stimulation [34,36,42]. Nuclear localization of
Nox1 and of p22\textsubscript{phox} has been reported in transformed human gingival keratinocytes [43] and in
human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) [44], respectively. Although we could not
detect p22\textsubscript{phox} in the nucleus in HEK293 cells (Fig. 6A and B), it is possible that a nuclear
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Nox-p22phox heterodimer expressed in other cell types may be supported by this nuclear-targeted Noxo1 isoform, Noxo1γ. A nuclear-targeted Nox system may be tailored for more effective modulation of redox-responsive genes. Alternatively, Noxo1γ may function as an adaptor protein in other nuclear signaling complexes.

The distinct subcellular targeting of Noxo1α and γ to sites other than the plasma membrane raises interesting questions regarding the structural and functional consequences of alternative splicing of the Noxo1 PX domain sequence. The omission of a single lysine (splice site 1 deletion) in the middle of an otherwise highly conserved amphipathic α-helix (α−1) in Noxo1α or δ would likely disrupt folding of the entire PX domain, since all residues beyond this deletion would be shifted 100 degrees out of register. Consistent with this prediction, the intact form of the Noxo1α PX-GST fusion protein is not stably produced in E. coli. The full-length or PX domain-GFP fusion proteins of Noxo1α are unexpectedly stable in transfected mammalian cells, although they show a tendency to aggregate in the cytoplasm of several cell types, suggesting they are misfolded when over-expressed. Noxo1α likely binds to the membrane and supports Nox1 through it interaction with p22phox, independent of a functional PX domain, consistent with its lower Nox1 supporting activity and the effects of the p22phox(P156Q).

In contrast to splice site 1, alternative splicing at splice site 2 likely has little effect on protein folding, since the additional “GQASL” motif, seen in the γ and δ forms, occurs within a
region that is variable (both in length and sequence) and is exposed on the surface of all PX domains (Fig. 1C). In several proteins, this region contains the proline-rich, “PXXP” motif that makes contact with SH3 domains through intramolecular or intermolecular interactions; this polyproline type II helix lies adjacent to the major anionic lipid recognition site that binds phosphatidylinositol lipids. The corresponding Noxo1 sequence contains two prolines, although their sequence positions do not conform to any consensus of SH3 domain binding sequences (either in the presence or absence of the splice site 2 motif). The lipid binding specificities of Noxo1β and γPX domains are similar (Fig. 4B and [26]), thus the additional splice site 2 sequence (GQASL) in Noxo1γ does not appear to affect lipid binding. It is likely that this peptide targets Noxo1γ to the nucleus. In p47phox the PX domain proline-rich motif was shown to interact with the second SH3 domain within p47phox, thereby masking the phosphatidylinositol-binding pocket and preventing this membrane interaction in the absence of cell stimulation and p47phox phosphorylation [34,36]. Thus, the absence of a suitable SH3-binding motif in the PX-domains of all Noxo1 isoforms, in addition to the absence of sequence homologous to the phosphorylated auto-inhibitory of p47phox, could explain why these proteins are membrane-bound even without cell stimulation. Nox1 activity is also significantly enhanced by cell stimulation in several transfected models, including NIH3T3, HEK293, CHO-K1, COS-7, T84, HT-29 cells [this study, [9,14,15,17]]. The basis for PMA-stimulated
Nox1 activity remains unclear, since Noxo1 localization on plasma membranes is not altered following cell stimulation (data not shown). Phosphorylation may activate the pre-assembled, membrane-bound Nox1 complex or activate Rac.

The Noxo1 and p47\textsuperscript{phox} PX domains also differ with respect to the phosphatidylinositol lipids they recognize. Critical phosphoinositide binding residues identified in p47\textsuperscript{phox} [36], which are thought to favor binding of PtdIns-(3,4)\textsubscript{P\textsubscript{2}}, are not conserved in any Nox1 isoform. For example, p47\textsuperscript{phox} residues R43, F44, T45, and R90 coincide with Noxo1\textsubscript{β} residues S41, W42, D43, and R91 (Fig. 1C). S41 replaces basic residues observed in all other PtdIns-(3)\textsubscript{P} binding PX domains, while the tryptophan is atypical of the aromatic residues observed at the floor of this binding pocket (invariably tyrosine or phenylalanine). Interestingly, we observed binding of PA to Noxo1\textsubscript{β} and γ, as was reported previously with p47\textsuperscript{phox}; this was attributed to the existence of a second anionic lipid binding pocket in p47\textsuperscript{phox} [36,45]. PA binding to Nox1 is likely due to the remarkable structural similarities of Noxo1 within regions identified within this second site of p47\textsuperscript{phox}. The p47\textsuperscript{phox} residues lining this pocket (helix-1 and those preceding the proline-rich motif: H51, K55, R70, P73 and H74) correspond to Nox1 residues K49, K53, R68, P71, and K72. These striking similarities suggest that PA binding to Noxo1\textsubscript{β} and Noxo1γ involves a conserved secondary anionic lipid-binding site similar to that mapped in the PX domain of p47\textsuperscript{phox}. 
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In addition to the functional differences between Noxo1 isoforms, we confirmed and extended earlier work showing that each isoform has a distinct, tissue-specific expression pattern [18]. Noxo1α and Noxo1δ are expressed in low abundance in colon, testis, liver, and pancreas: Noxo1α, in testis (2/11) and liver (2/11); Noxo1δ, only one clone in liver (1/11). Production of the Noxo1α may be favored in pathological states, since Noxo1α was originally cloned from colon cancer cell line (GeneBank™ accession no. BC015917, IMAGE: 4661469) and was not detected from normal colon cDNA in the present study. Moreover, Noxo1δ may be the least relevant isoform in physiological terms, since it is not detected in normal colon or testis cDNA (Fig. 5B), it is not efficiently targeted to the nucleus or plasma membrane (Fig. 2A and B), and it supports the lowest Nox1 activity of all four isoforms (data not shown). When expressed in pancreatic (PANC-1) or testis (TM3) epithelial cell lines the Noxo1 α, β, and γ isoforms show the same distinctive localization patterns observed in the other transfected models, however Noxo1α is less aggregated and is detected along the plasma membrane. We do not know the relevant oxidase systems expressed in these cells nor could we compare Noxo1 isoform functions in these lines, because of their low transfection efficiency. Noxo1 is also a physiologically relevant partner of Nox3, since lesions in either murine gene result in the same distinctive inner ear phenotype, defective otoconia formation and loss of balance [23,24]. We observed the following rank order of Nox3 activities supported by Noxo1 isoforms in the HEK293 cell model:
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Noxo1β > Noxo1γ > Noxo1α, similar to that observed with Nox1 (data not shown). These observations are consistent with previous work showing that Nox3 prefers Noxo1β to Noxo1γ [26]. Noxo1γ is remarkably different from Noxo1β in terms of its cellular localization and expression patterns; Noxo1γ-GFP is detected in the nucleus, as well as the plasma membrane, and is the predominant isoform expressed in testis. Further study is needed to define distinct physiological roles of these isoforms.
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**Figure Legends**

Fig. 1

Alternative splicing of Noxo1 transcripts and its affects on PX domain structure.

A, Schematic of Noxo1 gene organization, showing locations of alternative splice sites on both boundaries of exon 3 (blue and red). Exons are shown as numbered boxes, while introns are represented as thin lines.

B, Alignment of human and mouse Noxo1 genomic sequences around exon 3. Capital and bold letters show exonic sequences, while small letters denote intronic sequences. Amino acids encoded by alternative splicing are shown in blue and red at the beginning and end of exon 3, respectively.

Arrows and arrowheads indicate alternative splice donor and splice acceptor sites, respectively.

C, Alignment of Noxo1 isoform PX domain sequences with those of other PX domains (conserved amino acids shown in pink). Conserved secondary structural elements identified within the three-dimensional structure of p47^phox^ are shown above sequences (α, alpha helix; β, beta sheet)[34,36]. Amino acids resulting from alternative splicing at sites 1 and 2 are shown in blue and red, respectively.

Splice site 1 (1) occurs in the middle of conserved α-helix 1, while splice site 2 (2) occurs within a variable sequence that includes a proline-rich motif that interacts with SH3 domains in some proteins.

Contact residues within the phosphatidylinositol-binding pocket of p47^phox^ are overlined, while those lining a second phosphatidic acid (PA) binding pocket are marked with an asterisk.
GeneBank™ accession no. of Noxo1α, Noxo1β, Noxo1γ, Noxo1δ, p47phox, p40phox, PLD1 are NM_144603, NM_172167, NM_172168, AY191359, NM_000265, NM_000631, NM_002662, respectively. FASTA™ accession no. of Bem1 and Vam7p are NP_009759 and NP_011303, respectively.

Fig. 2

Subcellular localization of Noxo1(PX)-GFP and Noxo1-GFP in two transfected cell models.

A, Noxo1α(PX)-GFP and Noxo1α-GFP are localized on intracellular vesicles or in large cytoplasmic aggregates, but not on the plasma membrane in HEK293 cells. Nuclei are stained (blue) using Hoechst 33258. Noxo1β(PX)-GFP and Noxo1β-GFP are localized predominately along the plasma membrane in HEK293 cells. Noxo1γ(PX)-GFP and Noxo1γ-GFP localize in the nucleus and weakly along the plasma membrane, particularly Noxo1γ(PX)-GFP. Noxo1δ(PX)-GFP and Noxo1δ-GFP show localization patterns similar to that of Noxo1α, and no nuclear localization, as observed with Noxo1γ(PX)-GFP and Noxo1γ-GFP. Bar: 10 µm

B, Noxo1α(PX)-GFP and Noxo1α-GFP are detected at low levels on the plasma membrane (arrows), as well as on intracellular vesicles of COS-7 cells. Noxo1β(PX)-GFP and Noxo1β-GFP are localized on the plasma membrane. Noxo1γ(PX)-GFP and Noxo1γ-GFP are again localized on the plasma membrane and in the nucleus of COS7 cells. Noxo1δ(PX)-GFP and Noxo1δ-GFP
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show localization patterns similar to Noxo1α and no significant nuclear association. Plasma membrane localization of Noxo1δ(PX)-GFP and Noxo1δ-GFP is less than that seen with Noxo1α(PX)-GFP and Noxo1α-GFP. C, The conserved Arg 40 mutation apparently disrupts the association of Noxo1β-GFP with the plasma membrane (arrow) in HEK293 cells. Bar: 10 µm

D, Western blotting confirms comparable levels and stability of all four Noxo1 fusion proteins in HEK293 and COS-7 cells. Comparable sample loading is confirmed by β-tubulin immunoblotting. Results are representative of those obtained in three separate experiments

Fig. 3

Activation of Nox1 by Noxo1α, Noxo1β, and Noxo1γ in three transfected cell models.

In HEK293 (A) and in CHO-K1 (C) cells, Nox1 activity is efficiently supported by Noxo1β, moderately by Noxo1γ, and poorly by Noxo1α. In contrast, in COS-7 cells (C) Nox1 activity is supported at similar levels by all three Noxo1 isoforms. The enhancing effects of PMA are greater in HEK293 and CHO-K1 cell models than the COS-7 cell model. Dominant negative effects of p22phox(P156Q) are seen with all three isoforms in all cell models, but is most apparent with Noxo1α in all cell models. D, Nox1 activity reconstituted by Noxo1β in the CHO cell model is a fraction of that observed in the other two models. Data are from at least three independent experiments
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Fig. 4

Phospholipid binding of PX domain of Noxo1 isoforms.

A, SDS-PAGE analysis of GST-Noxo1α reveals poor yield of the intact 43 kDa fusion protein and a major GST degradation product (25kDa). Intact GST-Noxo1β(PX) and GST-Noxo1γ(PX) are detected at expected molecular weights (~43 kDa). B, GST-Noxo1β binds to PtdIns-(3)P, PtdIns-(4)P, and PtdIns-(5)P, PtdIns-(3,5)P₂, and phosphatidic acid (PA). GST-Noxo1γ shows almost the same binding pattern, while GST shows no lipid binding. LPA, Lysophosphatidic acid; LPC, Lysophosphatidylcholine; PE, phosphatidylethanolamine; PS, phosphatidylcholine; S1P, sphingosine 1-phosphate; PS, phosphatidylserine Results shown were similar in three independent experiments.

Fig. 5

Tissue-specific expression patterns of Noxo1 isoform mRNAs.

A, Noxo1 mRNAs (arrow) are detected by RT-PCR using single-strand cDNA templates prepared from colon, testis (test), liver, and pancreas (panc). Noxo1 mRNA is faintly detected in pancreas. M, marker; cont, control reaction without cDNA template. Noxo1β and Noxo1γ cDNAs are detected from all tissues, however, Noxo1α is detected in testis and liver, and Noxo1δ
is detected only in liver. C, Alternatively-spliced Noxo1-GFP isoforms show distinct subcellular distribution patterns in pancreatic (PANC-1) and testis (TM3) epithelial cell lines. Noxo1α is predominately vesicular (arrows: the plasma membrane), Noxo1β associates with the plasma membrane, and Noxo1γ is both in nuclei and on the plasma membrane. Bar: 10 µm.

Fig. 6

Nox1-dependent, but Noxo1-independent, targeting of endogenous p22phox to the plasma membrane.

A, Immunofluorescence imaging of endogenous and transfected p22phox in HEK293 cells, showing a reticular cytoplasmic and peri-nuclear membrane (arrows) staining patterns. Transfection of Nox1 results in a redistribution of endogenous p22phox to the plasma membrane. *, control cells not transfected with Nox1 show much weaker staining of endogenous p22phox, primarily in a reticular cytoplasmic pattern. B, Transfection of Noxo1(α, β, or γ)-GFP shows no redistribution of endogenous p22phox. C, Western blotting shows increased p22phox levels in Nox1-transfected, but not in mock or Noxo1β-transfected cells. Comparable protein loading is confirmed by β-tubulin immunoblotting. Similar results were obtained in three independent experiments.
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